# **JCI** The Journal of Clinical Investigation

### Androgen receptor splice variants drive castration-resistant prostate cancer metastasis by activating distinct transcriptional programs

Dong Han, ..., Xiaohong Li, Changmeng Cai

J Clin Invest. 2024. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI168649.

Research In-Press Preview Oncology

#### **Graphical abstract**





Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/168649/pdf

## Androgen receptor splice variants drive castration-resistant prostate cancer metastasis by activating distinct transcriptional programs

Dong Han<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Maryam Labaf<sup>1,3,4\*</sup>, Yawei Zhao<sup>5\*</sup>, Jude Owiredu<sup>6</sup>, Songqi Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Krishna Patel<sup>1,2</sup>, Kavita Venkataramani<sup>2</sup>, Jocelyn S. Steinfeld<sup>2</sup>, Wanting Han<sup>7</sup>, Muqing Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Mingyu Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Zifeng Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Anna Besschetnova<sup>1,2</sup>, Susan Patalano<sup>1,2</sup>, Michaela J Mulhearn<sup>1,2</sup>, Jill A. Macoska<sup>1,2</sup>, Xin Yuan<sup>4</sup>, Steven P. Balk<sup>4</sup>, Peter S. Nelson<sup>7</sup>, Stephen R. Plymate<sup>8,9</sup>, Shuai Gao<sup>10,11</sup>, Kellee R. Siegfried<sup>2</sup>, Ruihua Liu<sup>5</sup>, Mary M. Stangis<sup>5</sup>, Gabrielle Foxa<sup>12</sup>, Piotr J. Czernik<sup>13</sup>, Bart O. Williams<sup>12</sup>, Kourosh Zarringhalam<sup>1,3#</sup>, Xiaohong Li<sup>5#</sup>, and Changmeng Cai<sup>1,2#</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Personalized Cancer Therapy, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, Massachusetts 02125, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, Massachusetts 02125, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, Massachusetts 02125, USA

<sup>4</sup> Hematology-Oncology Division, Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA

<sup>5</sup> Department of Cell and Cancer Biology, College of Medicine and Life Sciences, The University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 43614, USA

<sup>6</sup> Department of Cell & Developmental Biology, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York 02125, USA

<sup>7</sup> Human Biology Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, Washington 98109, USA

<sup>8</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

<sup>9</sup> Geriatrics Research Education and Clinical Center, VAPSHCS, Seattle, WA98108

<sup>10</sup> Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, New York Medical College, Valhalla, New York 10595, USA

<sup>11</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, New York Medical College, Valhalla, New York 10595, USA

<sup>12</sup> Department of Cell Biology, Van Andel Institute and Core Technologies and Services, Grand Rapids, Michigan, 49503, USA

<sup>13</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, MicroCT and Skeletal Research Core Facility, College of Medicine and

1

\*D.H., M.L., and Y.Z. contributed equally to this work.

<sup>#</sup>Correspondence: C.C. (100 Morrissey Blvd, Boston, MA 02125, phone: 617-287-3537, email: <u>changmeng.cai@umb.edu</u>),\_X.L. (3000 Transverse Dr, Toledo, OH 43614, phone: 419-383-3982, email: <u>xiaohong.li@utoledo.edu</u>), or K.Z. (100 Morrissey Blvd, Boston, MA 02125, phone: 617-287-7486, email: <u>kourosh.zarringhalam@umb.edu</u>).

Running Title: AR-V7 promotes PCa metastasis

Conflict of Interest Statement: P.S.N. has received consulting fees from Janssen, Merck and Bristol Myers Squibb and research support from Janssen for work unrelated to the present studies. The other authors declare no competing financial interests.

#### ABSTRACT

One critical mechanism through which prostate cancer (PCa) adapts to treatments targeting androgen receptor (AR) signaling is the emergence of ligand-binding domain-truncated and constitutively active AR splice variants, particularly AR-V7. While AR-V7 has been intensively studied, its ability to activate distinct biological functions compared to the full-length AR (AR-FL), and its role in regulating the metastatic progression of castration-resistant PCa (CRPC), remains unclear. Our study found that, under castrated conditions, AR-V7 strongly induced osteoblastic bone lesions, a response not observed with AR-FL overexpression. Through combined ChIP-seq, ATAC-seq, and RNA-seq analyses, we demonstrated that AR-V7 uniquely accesses the androgen-responsive elements in compact chromatin regions, activating a distinct transcription program. This program was highly enriched for genes involved in epithelial-mesenchymal transition and metastasis. Notably, we discovered that *SOX9*, a critical metastasis driver gene, was a direct target and downstream effector of AR-V7. Its protein expression was dramatically upregulated in AR-V7-induced bone lesions. Moreover, we found that Ser81 phosphorylation enhanced AR-V7's pro-metastasis function by selectively altering its specific transcription program. Blocking this phosphorylation with CDK9 inhibitors impaired the AR-V7-mediated metastasis program. Overall, our study has provided molecular insights into the role of AR splice variants in driving the metastatic progression of CRPC.

#### INTRODUCTION

The androgen receptor (AR) is critical in driving prostate cancer (PCa) development, with androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) being the standard treatment for PCa patients. Although initial responses are generally positive, cancers often relapse, progressing to the castration-resistant stage of PCa (CRPC) with partially restored AR signaling (1, 2). Even with more aggressive AR signaling inhibition treatments (ARSi), such as enzalutamide or abiraterone, most patients eventually develop resistance (3, 4). In those resistant tumors, a subset progresses through AR-independent mechanisms, but the majority relapse via regaining AR activity, facilitated by various mechanisms including AR gene alterations, amplifications, mutations, aberrant splicing, and dysregulaion of cofactors (5). A key mechanism involves increased expression of ligand-binding domain (LBD)-truncated and constitutively active AR splice variants (AR-Vs), predominantly AR-V7 (6-9) and less frequently ARv567es (10,

11). These variants enable ligand-independent activities, regulating gene transcription in CRPC cells. AR-Vs have been shown to activate AR signaling without androgens, thus enabling PCa cells to adapt to therapies targeting full-length AR-FL (AR-FL) (12-14). However, the role of AR-Vs in regulating in vivo development of more aggressive PCa forms, such as metastasis, by activating transcriptional programs distinct to AR-FL, is not well understood.

Numerous studies have highlighted the role of AR-Vs in driving CRPC resistance to ARSi (10, 11, 15-21). Post-ADT/ARSi treatments, there is a rapid and significant increase in AR-V7 expression. This increase is attributed to the disruption of the negative feedback loop that regulates AR gene expression as well as further alterations in its splicing (8, 9, 21, 22). Notably, prostate-specific overexpression of AR-V7 or ARv567es in transgenic mouse models induces prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) or invasive carcinoma by activating oncogenic transcription programs (11, 23). This is in sharp contrast to early findings where AR-FL overexpression did not induce prostate neoplasia (24), suggesting distinct biological functions for AR-Vs. In fact, increased AR-V expression in CRPC versus castration-sensitive PCa (CSPC) bone metastases samples correlates with poor prognosis (25). Initial mechanistic studies suggested that AR-V7 primarily heterodimerize with AR-FL to enhance AR-FL activity in low androgen conditions (19, 26). However, recent studies clearly show that AR-V7 can independently regulate its transcriptional targets (14, 17-19). The debate continues on whether AR-Vs maintain distinct transcription programs by binding to non-AR-FL-occupied chromatin sites (13, 16-20, 26). For instance, using the CWR-22Rv1 model (expressing very high levels of AR-V7), one study found that AR-V7 drives a unique program by binding to distinct sites, interacting with a transcription factor ZFX (17). Another study using an LNCaP-derived CRPC cell line expressing endogenous AR-V7 showed significant overlap in chromatin binding between AR-V7 and AR-FL, and further demonstrated that the lack of LBD allows AR-V7 to preferentially interact with corepressors, potentially differing in function from AR-FL (20). Yet, these studies did not identify distinct biological functions of AR-V7 compared to AR-FL.

To more precisely compare the activity of AR-V7 with that of AR-FL, we generated lentiviral stable CRPC cell lines with inducible overexpression of either AR-V7 or AR-FL. We then assessed the differential effects on tumor cell metastasis by injecting these cells into zebrafish embryos and the tibias of castrated male mice. Our data show that overexpressing AR-V7, but not AR-FL, in CRPC cells promotes tumor cell invasion into blood vessels

and induces osteoblastic bone lesions in vivo. Integrated analyses of ChIP-seq, ATAC-seq, and RNA-seq revealed a previously undefined chromatin activity of AR-V7. This activity enables AR-V7 to bind to androgenresponsive elements (AREs) within compact chromatin, thereby activating a unique transcriptional program. This program is independent of AR-FL activity and highly enriched for genes mediating epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and metastasis functions. The analysis also identified a subset of unique AR-V7 targets, including the stem cell and metastatic factor *SOX9*, which we previously reported as playing a role in promoting PCa progression and metastasis (27, 28). Furthermore, we demonstrated that the Ser81 phosphorylation of AR-V7 selectively enhances its unique pro-metastasis function. Targeting this phosphorylation with CDK9 inhibitors can block AR-V7-induced *SOX9* expression and its associated metastasis function.

#### RESULTS

#### Overexpression of AR-V7, but not AR-FL, induces osteoblastic bone lesions in PCa

Prostate cancer frequently metastasizes to bone. To directly compare the functions of AR-V7 and AR-FL in bone metastasis, we generated two lentiviral stable lines expressing doxycycline (dox)-regulated V5-tagged AR-V7 (C4-2-tet-ARV7) or AR-FL (C4-2-tet-ARFL) using the C4-2 PCa cell line, which was derived from castration-resistant LNCaP xenografts and does not express AR-V7 (**Figure 1A, B**). The induced expression levels of AR-V7 in C4-2-tet-ARV7 cells was similar to the endogenous levels of AR-FL in these cells and comparable to the overexpressed levels of AR-FL in C4-2-tet-ARFL cells. We next assessed the effect of AR-V7 on bone metastasis by injecting these cells into the tibias of castrated male mice. As shown in **Figure 1C-F** and **Figure S1A**, **B**, the expression of AR-V7, but not AR-FL, markedly increased the area of bone lesions at 4-6 weeks post-injection, and induced osteoblastic bone formation characterized by a significant increase in bone volume fraction and trabecular bone number. Micro-CT and 3D reconstruction revealed massive bone destruction, typical of mixed osteoblastic and osteolytic bone lesions, on the surface and inside of the tibias injected with AR-V7 inducing cells, but not in uninduced cells or cells overexpressing AR-FL (**Figure 1G** and **Figure S1C**). We also examined SOX9 expression, a well-studied metastasis driver (29-32), in these bone lesions. As shown in **Figure 1H, I**,

tumors overexpressing AR-FL. Consistently, SOX9 protein expression appeared to be induced by AR-V7 expression but not AR-FL in cell culture (**Figure 1J**, **K**). A similar effect on bone metastasis was also observed in the AR-V positive CWR-22Rv1 model with the use of RNAi approach to target AR-V7 (**Figure S1D**). These findings suggest a potential role for AR-V7 in promoting osteoblastic bone metastasis, specifically in the stages of bone colonization and outgrowth, highlighting its significance in CRPC progression.

#### AR-V7 activates a unique transcription program enriched for EMT and metastasis functions

Given our functional studies, we hypothesized that AR-V7 regulates a distinct transcription program, activating the metastatic cascade in bone. Since AR-FL expression is consistently upregulated upon castration and its overexpression alone in castration-sensitive PCa cells is capable of inducing resistance to castration (33, 34), we then generated lentiviral stable lines expressing doxycycline-regulated V5-tagged AR-V7 (LN-tet-ARV7) and AR-FL (LN-tet-ARFL) using the androgen-sensitive LNCaP cell line. Low-dose doxycycline treatment induced AR-V7 to levels comparable to androgen-stimulated endogenous AR-FL in LN-tet-ARV7 cells, but lower than overexpressed AR-FL in LN-tet-ARFL cells (**Figure 2A**, **B** and **Figure S2A**, **B**). As a comparison to AR-V7, we also generated an LNCaP-derived stable cell line that expresses cumate (Cu)-regulated FLAG-tagged ARv567es (LN-cu-ARv567es), which upon cumate treatment, induced SOX9 protein expression similarly (**Figure 2C**). The options for CRPC cell line models to study endogenous AR-V7 are limited, with CWR-22Rv1 being the primary model. To address this issue, we established a new AR-V7-expressing CRPC cell line by adapting LuCaP 35CR PDX (35) into tissue culture. The established 35CR cell line exhibits high levels of AR-V7, which can be dramatically reduced by high-level androgen treatment, aligning with our previous findings (9) (**Figure S2C**).

RNA-seq analyses were conducted on LN-tet-ARV7 cells treated with or without doxycycline to identify the AR-V7 transcriptome. Similarly, analyses were performed on LN-tet-ARFL cells treated with doxycycline and stimulated with or without 0.1nM DHT (dihydrotestosterone, to mimic castrate levels of DHT) to identify the AR-FL transcriptome under castrate conditions. The results were compared with the AR-V7 transcriptome in 22Rv1 and 35CR cells (with AR-V7 silencing) (**Figure 2D**, **E**), the ARv567es transcriptome in LN-cu-ARv567es cells (treated with cumate), the AR-FL transcriptome in parental LNCaP cells (stimulated with 10nM DHT), and our previously published AR-FL transcriptome data in C4-2 and VCaP CRPC cell lines (stimulated with 10nM DHT) (36, 37). Gene Set Enrichment Analyses (GSEA) using hallmark gene sets revealed that while AR-V7 and AR-FL activated genes were similarly enriched for the classic androgen response pathway in most models, genes activated by AR-V7 or ARv567es in all the models were specifically enriched for the EMT functions, a pathway not activated by AR-FL in any of these PCa models (**Figure 2F**). Interestingly, AR-V7 also appears to exert transcriptional repression function, similar to AR-FL stimulated by high-level androgens, targeting many shared targets and enriching for E2F signaling and cell cycle pathways (38, 39) (**Figure S3A-C**). This repression activity aligns with findings from a prior study (40), though it varies from others (41), likely due to differing experimental conditions or models. To further explore the unique activity of AR-V7 in patient samples, we developed a 17-gene signature representing AR-V7 specifically activated genes (**Figure 2G** and **Figure S4A**). The scores of this signature were significantly increased in mCRPC pateint cohorts compared to primary hormone-dependent PCa cohorts (42-45) (**Figure 2H** and **Figure S4B**). Furthermore, patients within the top quartile scores of this signature experienced significantly worse clinical outcomes compared to others (**Figure 2I**), suggesting that these distinct AR-V7 targets may play crucial roles in CRPC progression.

Next, we identified a panel of 37 genes specifically activated by AR-V7 in EMT pathways, including *SOX9* and *SHH*, the latter of which is also known to promote cancer metastasis (46, 47) (**Figure 3A**). Intriguingly, ARv567es activates only about 25% of these EMT genes. Considering the role of many EMT genes in metastasis, we conducted further GSEA using two bone metastasis signatures derived from lung and prostate cancers (48, 49). This analysis revealed strong enrichments in the transcriptomes of AR-V7 and ARv567es but not consistently in AR-FL (**Figure 3B**). We then examined the androgen regulation of this gene subset using our recently published RNA-seq analyses in LN-tet-ARFL cells treated with varying combinations of doxycycline and DHT (low versus high) (50). As shown in **Figure 3C**, under none of these conditions did AR-FL broadly activate the expression of this metastasis-associated gene subset, although a small fraction might be upregulated by high-dose androgen treatment (10nM DHT) in the context of AR overexpression.

Using LN-tet-ARV7, 22Rv1, and 35CR cells, we confirmed the regulation on *SOX9* and several other identified metastasis genes by AR-V7, along with two previously identified common targets in lipid biosynthesis pathways (*MBOAT2*, *ELOVL5*) shared by AR-FL and AR-V7 (51) (**Figure 3D-F**). This upregulation is not a non-specific

response to doxycycline treatment, as shown in **Figure S5A**. Additionally, we validated the upregulation of the *SOX9* gene by ARv567es (**Figure 3G**). However, the expression of those metastasis targets was not increased by androgen-stimulated AR-FL, whereas the expression of lipid synthesis targets was androgen-induced (**Figure 3H** and **Figure S5B**). Overall, these results suggest that LBD-truncated AR variants, such as AR-V7, distinctly activate specific transcriptional programs, which are strongly enriched for EMT and metastasis functions.

#### AR-V7 can bind to a subset of chromatin sites distinct from AR-FL binding

To elucidate the molecular basis for the distinct AR-V7 activity, we performed V5 ChIP-seq in LN-tet-ARV7 cells under hormone-depleted conditions to examine AR-V7 chromatin binding and identified 3,801 high confidence binding peaks (Figure S6A). We next performed ChIP-seq on total AR-FL in LN-tet-ARFL cells treated with doxycycline and stimulated by low-dose DHT to determine the AR-FL cistrome under castrated conditions and identified 6,971 high confidence peaks. We then compared the AR-V7 cistrome with AR-FL and found 986 overlapped sites (Figure 4A, B). Next, we conducted a Binding and Expression Target Analysis (BETA) (52) to examine the association of AR-V7/AR-FL binding with their regulated genes. As shown in Figure 4C, D, AR-V7 and AR-FL total chromatin binding sites were all highly associated with their transcriptional activation function. Importantly, AR-V7/AR-FL common binding sites and AR-V7 unique sites were all strongly associated with AR-V7-activated genes (P=7.9x10<sup>-11</sup>, P=2.12x10<sup>-8</sup>, respectively) (**Figure 4E**), indicating AR-V7 binding at its specific sites are also transcriptionally active. We next examined enriched motifs at the AR-V7-specific sites versus the common or AR-FL-specific sites. Consistent with previous findings (17-19), AR binding motifs were top-ranked in all AR-V7 sites as well as AR-FL sites (Figure 4F). The motif of FOXA1, a critical pioneer factor for AR access to chromatin (53), was highly enriched in AR-FL specific and the common sites, but not in AR-V7-specific binding sites. This finding is consistent with previous reports (17, 18) and implies that the chromatin structure at AR-V7specific sites may be different.

Several studies have proposed that the major activity of AR-V7 in CRPC is to promote AR-FL chromatin binding under castrate conditions through heterodimerization with AR-FL (13, 16, 26). Therefore, we next determined whether inducing AR-V7 expression can increase endogenous AR-FL binding by performing ChIP-seq analyses

8

on AR-FL in LN-tet-ARV7 cells. As shown in Figure S6B, AR-V7 induction did not significantly change the chromatin binding of AR-FL in the absence of DHT treatment but markedly decreased the number of AR-FL binding sites in the presence of DHT. We then identified 403 and 1,100 AR-FL/AR-V7 co-occupied sites in the absence or presence of DHT, respectively (Figure S6C). The intensity of basal AR-FL binding was not significantly changed in either AR-FL unique sites or AR-FL/V7 co-occupied sites (Figure S6D), indicating that AR-V7 cannot increase the chromatin recruitment of AR-FL in the absence of androgens. Interestingly, while the binding intensity of DHT-stimulated AR-FL at the co-occupied sites was not significantly changed by AR-V7, a strong repression effect on AR-FL binding at AR-FL unique sites was observed (Figure S6D), suggesting that there was a possible indirect repression effect on AR-FL binding by AR-V7. The repressed AR-FL binding also led to markedly reduced chromatin accessibility (Figure S6E). We noticed that the protein expression of AR-FL was repressed by AR-V7, particularly in the presence of androgen treatment (see Figure 2B). Since our early studies showed that AR-FL can function as a transcriptional repressor to repress its own gene expression through binding to a suppressive site at its intron 2 and recruiting a repressor complex (36), it is likely that AR-V7 may maintain this repressor function and can transcriptionally repress AR-FL. This model was supported by further experiments showing that the mRNA level of endogenous AR-FL was indeed repressed by AR-V7 (Figure S6F). Nonetheless, these findings suggest that AR-V7 may not enhance the chromatin binding of AR-FL in CRPC cells.

#### AR-V7 can bind to compact chromatin regions

FOXA1, a pioneer transcription factor, functions to decompact chromatin structure through its winged-helix Forkhead DNA binding domain, thereby facilitating the binding of AR and ER (54, 55). To examine the levels of FOXA1 binding at AR-V7 unique sites, we performed ChIP-seq of FOXA1 in LN-tet-ARV7 cells treated with or without doxycycline. The results showed that AR-V7 overexpression did not globally alter the FOXA1 chromatin binding (**Figure 5A**). Consistent with motif enrichment analysis, only ~18% of AR-V7 binding sites were preoccupied by FOXA1 compared to ~48% for AR-FL binding sites (**Figure 5B**, **C**). Interestingly, AR-V7 binding was found to increase FOXA1 occupancy at AR-V7 binding sites from ~18% to ~28% (**Figure 5D**). Notably, the average FOXA1 binding intensity at AR-V7 specific sites was much lower than at common sites prior to AR-V7 chromatin binding (**Figure 5E**, **F**). This suggests that AR-V7 is capable to bind to cryptic AREs that are less enriched for putative FOXA1 binding motifs and typically inaccessible to AR-FL, and that its binding at these sites can subsequently stimulate FOXA1 binding.

To further investigate chromatin structure at AR-V7 binding sites, we performed ATAC-seq to examine chromatin accessibility and ChIP-seq of acetylated histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27ac) to evaluate enhancer activation in LN-tet-ARV7 cells. The results showed that the average intensity signals of ATAC and H3K27ac were ~2-3 fold lower at AR-V7 specific sites compared to common sites. However, these signals notably increased upon AR-V7 binding (**Figure 5E**, **G**, **H**). These findings were corroborated at specific AR-V7 binding sites nearby the 37 EMT/metastasis genes and validated at several target gene sites (**Figure S7A-D**). Collectively, these data indicate that AR-V7 uniquely binds to AREs within compact chromatin, subsequently promoting FOXA1 binding, enhancing chromatin accessibility, and activating enhancers.

#### AR-V7 transcriptionally activates the SOX9 gene

Amongst the identified AR-V7 targets, the stem cell and metastasis transcription factor *SOX9* plays a critical role in PCa development (27). In developing prostate, SOX9 is expressed by epithelial cells invading into urogenital sinus mesenchyme, and its loss results in profound defects in prostate ductal morphogenesis in mouse (56). In *Pten*-deficient mouse prostate, we and other groups have demonstrated that prostate-specific *Sox9* overexpression promotes the development of invasive carcinoma (27, 57). Examination of published datasets (18, 20, 43, 44, 58, 59) revealed consistently increased *SOX9* expression in metastatic CRPC compared to primary PCa and its upregulation by AR-V7 (**Figure S8A-C**). Previously, we identified a cryptic ARE site at the 3' downstream of the *SOX9* gene, termed the S2 site (**Figure 6A**), which, in cooperation with nearby transcription factors, such as ERG, regulates *SOX9* expression under high-dose androgen stimulation (27). CRISPRatargeted activation of this site significantly increased *SOX9* expression (**Figure 58D**). Using qRT-PCR, we confirmed that *SOX9* expression is strongly activated by AR-V7 but not by castrate-level androgen-stimulated AR-FL (**Figure 6B** and **Figure S8E, F**). AR-V7 strongly binds to the FOXA1-low S2 site, inducing FOXA1 recruitment and increasing the levels of H3K4me2 and possibly H3K27ac (**Figure 6C**). In the SU2C mCRPC Interestingly, while DHT-stimulated AR-FL can increase LNCaP cell proliferation, AR-V7 did not stimulate cell growth (**Figure S9A**, **B**), suggesting that AR-V7 specifically promotes metastasis rather than proliferation. To further investigate if SOX9 is a downstream effector of AR-V7's pro-metastasis function, we performed in vitro Matrigel invasion assays. The results showed that AR-FL only slightly increased cell invasion, whereas AR-V7 expression dramatically promoted it (**Figure 6E** and **Figure S9C**). Notably, this AR-V7-induced cell invasion was markedly repressed by SOX9 silencing (**Figure 6F, G** and **Figure S9D**), suggesting a critical role of SOX9 in CRPC invasion and metastasis.

In a zebrafish metastasis model, we further assessed whether SOX9 mediates the pro-metastasis function of AR-V7 in vivo. Zebrafish do not develop an adaptive immune system until 14 days post-fertilization, and can be used to rapidly evaluate cancer cell intravasation and dissemination, early steps in the metastasis cascade (60). The GFP-labeled AR-V7 and AR-FL overexpressing C4-2 cells were injected into zebrafish embryos (~10-20 per group) to examine their metastatic potential. AR-V7 expressing cells invaded the blood vessel within an hour post-injection (9/10 versus 0/10 invaded embryos), whereas cells overexpressing AR-FL under DHT stimulation did not invade and stayed within the perivitelline space of each embryo (0/12 versus 0/11 invaded embryos) (Figure 6H, I). Notably, silencing SOX9 in AR-V7 expressing cells dramatically prevented invasion (2/29 versus 22/23 invaded embryos). Moreover, overexpressing SOX9 alone was sufficient to drive LNCaP cell metastasis (8/10 versus 0/10 invaded embryos) (Figure S9E, F), highlighting the critical pro-metastatic function of SOX9 in PCa. Finally, we tested the involvement of SOX9 in AR-V7-induced bone lesion formation using the C4-2-tet-ARV7 cell line, now stably incorporating a doxycycline-inducible shRNA targeting SOX9 (C4-2-tet-ARV7/shSOX9). In this model, doxycycline treatment induces AR-V7 but simultaneously prevents SOX9 induction (Figure 6J, right panel). Remarkably, silencing SOX9 in this context dramatically inhibited the formation of bone lesions induced by AR-V7 (Figure 6J, left panel). In fact, this alteration completely halted bone lesion development, indicting a pivotal role of SOX9 in mediating PCa tumor metastasis driven by AR-V7.

Additionally, we tested whether endogenous AR-V7 expression similarly promotes metastasis. Unlike AR-V7negative parental LNCaP cells or LNCaP-derived C4-2 cells, LNCaP-95 cells, expressing high levels of AR-V7 (20), exhibited strong invasive and metastatic capabilities. Silencing AR-V7 markedly reduced the metastatic activity of these cells both in vitro and in vivo (**Figure 6K**, **L** and **Figure S9G**). A similar effect was also observed in the 35CR model (**Figure 6M**, **N**). Overall, these data clearly indicate that SOX9 is a critical downstream effector of AR-V7 in promoting CRPC metastasis.

#### Ser81 phosphorylation is required for AR-V7-induced metastasis

We next examined whether posttranslational modifications of the AR-V7 protein may affect its activity. A candidate for such modification is Ser81 phosphorylation, previously reported to increase AR-FL protein stability, chromatin binding, and transcription activity (61-64). A recent study also suggests that this modification is associated with AR reactivation in CRPC (65). However, the role of S81 phosphorylation in regulating AR-V7 function remains unclear. To investigate, we created a loss-of-function S81A mutant in C4-2 cells. Intriguingly, this mutation led to slower migration of the AR-V7 protein, suggesting that a second posttranslational modification might be tightly linked with S81 dephosphorylation (Figure 7A). We then injected these cells into the tibias of castrated mice to assess the impact of the S81A mutation on bone metastasis. Remarkably, the S81A mutant failed to induce osteoblastic bone lesions, showing no increase in bone lesion area, bone volume fraction, trabecular bone numbers, or bone surface/cavity destruction (Figure 7B-D), suggesting a defect in its pro-metastasis function. We also examined SOX9 protein expression in the bone lesions and observed no induction of SOX9 expression (Figure 7E), in sharp contrast to the wildtype (WT) AR-V7 activity (see Figure 1). Furthermore, we conducted a zebrafish embryo metastasis assay comparing cells expressing WT AR-V7 and the S81A mutant. As shown in Figure 7F, the mutant displayed substantially impaired metastatic activity compared to the WT (5/16 versus 12/12 invaded embryos). These findings clearly demonstrate the critical role of S81 phosphorylation in maintaining the pro-metastasis function of AR-V7.

#### Ser81 phosphorylation selectively enhances the AR-V7-regulated metastasis program

We then created an S81A mutation in LN-tet-ARV7 cells to study its molecular function in regulating AR-V7. As shown in **Figure 8A**, S81 was highly phosphorylated in WT AR-V7 protein but not endogenous AR-FL under

hormone-depleted conditions. The slower migration of AR-V7-S81A proteins was consistently observed in this model, and it was not affected by the addition of phosphatase (**Figure S10A**). Interestingly, overexpressing the AR-FL-S81A mutant in LNCaP cells did not indicate any significant alteration in AR-FL protein movement during electrophoresis (**Figure S10B**), suggesting that this effect is specific to AR-V7 protein. Nonetheless, we performed RNA-seq analyses to determine the effect of the S81A mutation on the AR-V7 transcriptome. As shown in **Figure 8B**, **C**, while the enrichment of the classic AR signaling pathway was barely affected, the enrichment of EMT and bone metastasis functions were markedly repressed by the S81A mutant. This suggests that S81 phosphorylation may selectively enhance this distinct transcription program of AR-V7. Indeed, the overall expression levels of AR-V7 specifically activated genes (17-gene signature) or AR-V7 regulated EMT/metastasis genes (37-gene) were significantly decreased by the S81A mutation, while the levels of classic androgen-regulated genes and previously identified AR-FL/V7-regulated lipid biosynthesis pathway genes were not suppressed (**Figure 8D** and **Figure S10C**, **D**). Consistently, AR-V7-induced expression of SOX9 and other metastasis genes was significantly decreased by the S81A mutant, while AR-V7-induced lipid-synthesis genes were not affected (**Figure 8E**, **F**).

To further determine whether the altered AR-V7 transcription program by the S81A mutant is due to decreased chromatin binding at its specific sites, we performed ChIP-seq analyses of AR-V7-WT and AR-V7-S81A. Surprisingly, decreased AR-V7 binding by the S81A mutant was only observed at a small fraction of binding sites, and the overall AR-V7 binding intensity at the AR-FL/AR-V7 common binding sites or AR-V7 specific sites was not notably changed (**Figure 8G**, **H**, **I**). Interestingly, we also observed a large amount of gained binding sites (5012 sites) associated with AR-V7-S81A. The genes annotated to these sites were functionally enriched for Adherens junction and Hippo signaling pathways (**Figure S10E**, **F**). Consistent with these global findings, the AR-V7 binding and its associated FOXA1 binding at the SOX9-S2 site were not significantly changed (**Figure S10G**, **H**). These data suggest that S81 phosphorylation may affect AR-V7 activity through mechanisms independent of chromatin binding.

#### CDK9 inhibition prevents Ser81 phosphorylation of AR-V7 and impairs AR-V7-mediated metastasis

13

Prior studies have identified S81 on AR-FL as a phosphorylation site for CDK1 and CDK9 (61, 62). However, we found that while CDK1 inhibitors effectively blocked the S81 phosphorylation in AR-FL, they do not have the same effect on AR-V7 (Figure S11A). This observation led us to explore the potential role of CDK9 in phosphorylating S81 on AR-V7 and to examine whether inhibiting CDK9 (CDK9-i) could suppress the metastasis-promoting activities of AR-V7. Our experiments with LN-tet-ARV7 cells, treated with two clinically tested CDK9 inhibitors, AZD4573 and atuveciclib (66, 67), revealed that these inhibitors abolished S81 phosphorylation on AR-V7 protein and markedly reduced the expression of key AR-V7 target genes, such as SOX9 and CDH2 (Figure 9A, B). Notably, while higher doses of AZD4573 might impact AR-V7 expression levels, lower doses had minimum effect on its protein and mRNA expression (Figure 9A and Figure S11B). Furthermore, treatment with low-dose AZD4573 nearly completely inhibited the metastatic capability of C4-2-tet-ARV7 cells in the zebrafish model (Figure 9C, D). A similar effect was also observed in the LuCaP 35CR model (Figure 9E-G). To assess the efficacy of CDK9-i in the context of AR-V7 induced bone lesions, we treated mice bearing intratibial C4-2-tet-ARV7 tumors using AZD4573. The results, as demonstrated in Figure 9H, I, showed that AZD4573 treatment reduced the formation of bone lesions induced by AR-V7 and markedly suppressed SOX9 expression. Collectively, these findings support the further exploration of CDK9 inhibitor treatment as a strategy to target the AR-V7 induced metastasis cascade in CRPC.

#### DISCUSSION

It is now relatively clear that the elevated expression of AR-V7, and possibly other AR-Vs, is a major driving force for the partially restored AR signaling in CRPC adapted to ADT or ARSi treatments. However, the question of whether AR-Vs can drive a distinct transcription program favoring CRPC tumor progression, due to their structural differences from AR-FL, remains debated. In this study, we hypothesized that AR-V7 not only functions as a mimic of AR-FL in sustaining AR signaling in response to ARSi but also plays a critical role in activating a distinct transcription program to further promote more aggressive PCa progression. To test this hypothesis, we generated stable PCa lines expressing doxycycline-inducible AR-V7 or AR-FL to mimic the increased AR-FL/AR-V7 expression in CRPC and injected these cells into the tibias of castrated male mice. Notably, we found that AR-V7 can induce severe osteoblastic bone lesions under castrated conditions, while overexpressed AR-FL fails

to do so. This functional difference between AR-FL and AR-V7 was also observed in vitro using Matrigel invasion assay and in vivo using zebrafish embryo metastasis assay, indicating that AR-Vs may have a unique activity to accelerate metastasis cascade in PCa. Using a combined analysis of ChIP-seq and RNA-seq, we then identified a distinct AR-V7 transcription program that is highly enriched for genes involved in EMT and metastasis functions, findings consistent with early transgenic mouse studies (11, 23).

Importantly, we identified SOX9, a critical stem cell and metastasis driver gene, as a direct target of AR-Vs, and its transcription is tightly regulated by AR-V7-mediated activation of a previously identified cryptic ARE site (S2 site) (27). The protein expression of SOX9 was also dramatically upregulated in AR-V7-induced bone lesions but not in AR-FL-expressing tumors. While AR-V7 can also activate additional metastasis regulators, such as SHH, the AR-V7/SOX9 axis appears to be a critical signaling event for inducing metastasis as silencing SOX9 markedly reduced the metastatic capability of AR-V7-expressing PCa cells. Given the important function of SOX9 in regulating cancer stem cells (29), it is plausible that initial ADT/ARSi treatment induces AR-V7 expression, which subsequently activates SOX9 to maintain metastatic cancer stem cells, possessing both stem cell properties and invasion capabilities that contribute to cancer metastasis. Interestingly, our early studies on SOX9 have indicated a TMPRSS2-ERG-mediated AR reprogramming which can also activate SOX9 expression through binding to the same cryptic ARE site under high androgen conditions (27). Therefore, SOX9 may be initially activated by TMPRSS2-ERG and high-dose androgen-stimulated AR-FL in androgen-dependent PCa cells. After ADT treatments, and rogen levels and ERG expression dramatically decrease, thus unable to sustain SOX9 expression and PCa metastasis. However, the acute increase in AR-V expression may guickly take control of the regulation of SOX9, more broadly and robustly activating SOX9 even in fusion-negative PCa tumors. Future studies can determine whether ERG may also involve in enhancing AR-V7-mediated SOX9 activation.

We also want to emphasize a recent comprehensive study on pan-metastatic cancers, including mCRPC, that identified two distinct metastasis subtypes: proliferative and EMT-like (68). Proliferative metastatic tumors show increased proliferation, metabolism, and stress response, whereas EMT-like metastatic tumors are marked by EMT and inflammation-related signatures. This suggests that metastatic CRPC tumors, to adapt to the bone environment, might display a reduced cell proliferation signature along with an enhanced EMT signature, consistent with our findings on the metastatic driver role of AR-V7. Intriguingly, AR-FL might drive the proliferative

metastasis through its upregulation of cell cycle and metabolic processes. Nonetheless, further research is needed to thoroughly understand the interplay between proliferation and EMT pathways in bone metastasis.

Consistent with other studies, our ChIP-seg analyses identified common chromatin binding sites accessible to either AR-V7 or liganded AR-FL. These sites are enriched for FOXA1 binding motif and located in open chromatin structures. Examining the endogenous AR-FL binding in LN-tet-ARV7 cells, we found that AR-V7 and AR-FL may co-occupy a subset of chromatin sites. However, our data did not indicate any major enhancement effect of AR-V7 in facilitating AR-FL chromatin binding. Thus, whether AR-V7 forms a heterodimer with AR-FL or act alone to compete with AR-FL binding at those sites remains to be determined. More importantly, our ChIP-seq data also indicate distinct AR-V7 binding sites that cannot be occupied by AR-FL under low-level androgen environments, despite these sites still containing AREs. A major difference is that AR-V7 specific chromatin sites are less enriched for FOXA1 binding motif, and thus may be in more compact chromatin formations, supported by evidence for lower FOXA1 binding and ATAC signals at these sites. Therefore, the possible explanation for the differential AR-FL and AR-V7 chromatin binding activities is that they may interact with different coactivator/remodeler complexes. AR-V7 has a unique chromatin activity to initially access the compact chromatin regions, possibly mediated by recruiting specific pioneer factor/chromatin remodeling complexes that can further facilitate chromatin opening. However, AR-FL binding is more dependent on the pioneer factor FOXA1, which may have a low affinity to interact with those AR-V7-specific chromatin regions due to the lack of perfect Forkhead binding motifs. Overall, our data suggest that AR-V7 may have a distinct capability to access many cryptic AREs in CRPC cells and thus activate them to promote the expression of its unique targets. While we propose a model of AR-V7 activity independent of AR-FL, all our cell line models contain both AR-FL and AR-V7. Therefore, it remains to be determined whether this AR-V7 activity would occur solely in the absence of AR-FL, or if it is just specifically regulated by AR-V7 in the presence of AR-FL.

Another major finding from this study is the discovery that the S81 phosphorylation of AR-V7 can enhance its pro-metastasis function by selectively altering the AR-V7-mediated transcription program. The S81 phosphorylation of AR-FL has been previously studied by us and other groups, and this modification may affect AR-FL protein degradation, subcellular localization, chromatin binding, and coactivator interactions (61-63, 65, 69, 70). However, it is not surprising that this phosphorylation may have a unique function on AR-V7 protein due

to its lack of hinge region and ligand-binding domain. Interestingly, this selective enhancement effect of AR-V7 transcription program did not appear to associate with the alteration of its chromatin binding since AR-V7 binding intensity at specific or common sites was not decreased by S81A mutation. Therefore, we propose a model that S81 phosphorylation may enhance or weaken AR-V7 interaction with its specific coregulators at AR-V7 unique sites, which are enriched for metastasis genes. This mechanistic model clearly requires further investigation. Overall, our study suggests that S81 phosphorylation on AR-V7 may serve as a possible biomarker for predicting the aggressiveness of CRPC and immunohistochemistry staining of phosphorylated S81 has been successfully applied in patient samples (70). Moreover, the identification of such important posttranslational modifications of AR-V7 also allows us to identify critical druggable targets that are involved in the regulation of the AR-V7mediated metastasis program. Therefore, we next determined whether treatments targeting S81 phosphorylation can be efficacious in suppressing metastasis. We particularly tested CDK9 inhibition in this study and showed that clinically tested CDK9 inhibitors can strongly suppress the expression of AR-V7 targeted genes and reduces metastasis. While it is highly unlikely that monotherapy with CDK9 inhibitors can completely suppress the metastatic progression of PCa after ARSi, our study provides a proof of concept for the future exploration of combination treatment strategies, particularly focusing on targeting AR-V7 phosphorylation early to prevent PCa cells from initiating more aggressive metastatic progress in bone. As more active and selective CDK9 inhibitors are being developed and entering the clinic, the preclinical findings in this study can likely accelerate the development and testing of such innovative AR-V7-targeting therapies in clinical trials and can be rapidly translated into patients.

#### MATERIALS AND MATHODS

**Sex as a biological variable:** Our study exclusively examined male mice. It is unknown whether the findings are relevant for female mice.

**Cell lines:** LNCaP cells were cultured in RPMI with 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum) and C4-2 cells were cultured in RPMI with 2% FBS plus 8% charcoal-stripped FBS (CSS). Both cell lines were purchased from ATCC and

authenticated periodically using short tandem repeat (STR) profiling. LNCaP and C4-2 stable cell lines overexpressing tetracycline-regulated AR-FL, AR-V7, and their S81A mutants were generated by lentiviral infection of pLIX\_403 tetracycline-inducible lentiviral vector with wild-type AR-FL/AR-V7 and S81A AR-FL/AR-V7 using the Gateway Technology with Clonase II (Invitrogen, cat# 12535-029). The S81A point mutation was generated using QuickChange Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, cat# 210518) from wild-type AR-V7. LNCaP stable cell lines overexpressing cumate-regulated 3XFLAG-tagged ARv567es were generated by lentiviral infection of Lenti-Cu3Flag-ARv567es vector. The culture condition of LN-tet-Ctrl and LN-tet-SOX9 was described previously (27). All these stable cell lines were cultured with tetracycline-free FBS. CWR-22Rv1 cells were purchased from ATCC and cultured in RPMI with 10% FBS. LNCaP-95 cells were derived from parental LNCaP cells and cultured with 10% phenol red free charcoal-stripped FBS (CSS). LuCaP 35CR cells were derived from LuCaP 35CR PDX model and cultured with 10% FBS. All cell lines used in zebrafish embryo metastasis assay were stably infected by GFP lentivirus (abm, cat# LV006). For androgen stimulation assays, cells were grown to 50-60% confluence in culture medium containing 5% CSS for 3 days (d) and then treated with DHT. All cell lines were frequently tested for mycoplasma contamination using the MycoAlert mycoplasma detection kit (Lonza).

**Immunoblotting:** For immunoblotting, cells were lysed with RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitor cocktail and protein were separated by electrophoresis on precast gradient gels (Bio-Rad). The detailed antibody information is provided in **Extended Data**.

**Quantitative real-time RT-PCR:** RNA was isolated from cells with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), Quantitative realtime PCR was performed using Fast 1-step Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). PCR results were normalized to GAPDH. The detailed description for TaqMan primer/probe sets can be found in **Extended Data**.

**RNA-Seq analysis:** RNA from cell lines was extracted by using RNeasy Kit (QIAGEN). RNA-Seq library was prepared using TruSeq Stranded RNA LT Kit (Illumina). Sequencing was performed using Illumina HiSeq2500 or NextSeq 2000 Genome Analyzer. Differential gene expression analysis was performed using edgeR (version

3.24.1) with Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted *P*-value of 0.05 and fold-change cutoff of 1.5 or 2. A more detailed description on further analyzing these data, including GSEA and KEGG analyses, is provided in **Extended Data**.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)**: For the preparation of ChIP, cells were cross-linked with 1% paraformaldehyde, collected and lysed with protease-inhibitor cocktail supplemented lysis buffer, and sonicated into 500-800bp fragments for ChIP-qPCR by Bioruptor Sonicator (Diagenode), followed by immunoprecipitation with 4 µg of ChIP grade antibodies. The ChIP-qPCR assays were then carried out in replicates and normalized to input DNA using SYBR Green Master Mix with the following AR-V7 binding sites. Antibodies and primers are listed in **Extended Data**.

**ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq analysis:** DNA samples for ChIP-seq was prepared as described above and sonicated into 200–300 bp fragments. For the preparation of ATAC-seq, nuclei collection was treated with transposase with Illumina Tagment DNA TDE1 Enzyme and Buffer Kit, and DNA samples were cleaned immediately with a Qiagen QIAquick Purification Kit and PCR-amplified by NEBNext High-Fidelity 2X PCR Master Mix. ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq libraries were constructed using the SMARTer ThruPLEX DNA-Seq Prep Kit (Takara Bio USA). Next-generation sequencing (51nt, single-end) was performed using Illumina HiSeq2500 Genome Analyzer. MACS3 (version 3.0.0.a6) (71) was used to evaluate the significance of enriched ChIP-seq regions. A more detailed description on analyzing these data is provided in **Extended Data**.

**Invasion assay:** Invasion assays were performed with Corning BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (354480, Corning). Per the manufacturer's protocol, in brief, the same number of cells were seeded in the pre-moisturized upper chamber with serum-free medium, and the lower chamber was filled with medium containing 10% FBS as the chemoattractant. After 3 days, non-invading cells were removed by using cotton swab, and the invaded cells were fixed with 100% methanol and then stained with Giemsa staining solution (Fisher). All experiments were done in biological triplicates and images were taken by EVOS auto fluorescence microscope.

Zebrafish metastasis assay: Adult AB wildtype zebrafish were crossed and the embryos were collected at the ~4h post-fertilization. These were raised until 2d post-fertilization at which point they were treated with Pronase (10mg/mL) for dechlorination. All injections were performed from the resultant larvae in accordance with the institutional approved protocol. The larvae were anesthetized with Tricaine before injecting with ~100 GFP-tagged cells targeting the perivitelline space. These injected embryos were then imaged with fluorescence microscopy for invasion within an hour after the injection. Embryos exhibiting positive circulation signals were classified as "invaded". The figures in our manuscript represent the proportion of "invaded" embryos relative to the total number injected. The significance of difference was determined by using Fisher's exact test or Chi-squared test depending on sample size.

**Mouse xenografts:** NSG-SCID mice (Jackson Laboratory) were maintained and propagated in the Vivarium of Van Andel Institute (VAI) or the Department of Laboratory Animal Research, College of Medicine and Life Sciences at the University Toledo (UT). Male mice were castrated at ~4-5 weeks old and randomized into different groups for the injections with PCa cells or with PBS as a negative control. For using doxycyclineinducible stable cells, the injected mice were further randomized into two groups and fed with or without doxycycline supplemented water (1g/L, Takara #631311) till the end of experiments. For intratibial injection, 1 million cells in 10  $\mu$ L of PBS were injected into the left and right mouse tibiae as described previously (72, 73). Bone lesions and tumor growth were monitored weekly by radiographic imaging using a Faxitron X-ray machine at VAI and Xpert80 X-ray machine (CUBTEC Scientific) at UT. The first X-ray image for each mouse was taken right before intratibial injection as the starting point. The bone lesion areas and regions of interest (ROIs) were measured using MetaMorph (Molecular Devices) and analyzed using GraphPad Prism. Mice were euthanized at the end points and their left tibiae were harvested in 70% ethanol and subjected to microcomputed tomography (µCT) scanning and imaging using a µCT instrument (SKYSCAN 1172 at VAI and µCT35 at UT). Data were further analyzed and processed as previously described (72). All measured variables in the tibiae with PCa were normalized to sham-injected tibiae undergone the same treatment and procedures, respectively. The right tibiae were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, decalcified in 14% EDTA, and processed for paraffin embedding and sectioning. The tissue sections were further used for histology and immunohistology staining for detections

of SOX9, detailed as previously described (72, 74, 75). The injected cell preparations, injections, imaging, tumor measurements, and bone lesion analyses were performed blinded.

**Statistical analysis:** Data in bar graphs represent mean±SD of at least 3 biological repeats. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided Student's *t*-test by comparing treatment versus vehicle control or otherwise as indicated. Box plots of the signature score and gene expression were compared using the Wilcoxon test for comparison between the two conditions. The mouse xenograft data were analyzed using two-sided Student's *t*-test to compare the bone lesions between the control and treatment groups at different time points separately. The zebrafish metastasis data were analyzed using Fisher's exact test or Chi-squared test depending on sample size. All statistical analyses and visualization were performed with R unless otherwise specified. *P*-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant (\* for *P* < 0.05, \*\* for *P* < 0.01, \*\*\*for *P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\*for *P* < 0.0001).

**Study approval:** The animal study protocols (zebrafish, mouse) were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) at University of Massachusetts Boston, Van Andel Institute, and the University of Toledo.

**Data and Code Availability:** The RNA-seq, ChIP-seq, and ATAC-seq data generated from this study has been deposited in GEO as GSE221142. Values for all data points in graphs are reported in the **Supporting Data Values** file.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Green SM, Mostaghel EA, and Nelson PS. Androgen action and metabolism in prostate cancer. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2012;360(1-2):3-13.
- 2. Yuan X, Cai C, Chen S, Chen S, Yu Z, and Balk SP. Androgen receptor functions in castration-resistant prostate cancer and mechanisms of resistance to new agents targeting the androgen axis. *Oncogene*. 2014;33(22):2815-25.
- 3. de Bono JS, Logothetis CJ, Molina A, Fizazi K, North S, Chu L, et al. Abiraterone and increased survival in metastatic prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364(21):1995-2005.
- 4. Scher HI, Fizazi K, Saad F, Taplin ME, Sternberg CN, Miller K, et al. Increased survival with enzalutamide in prostate cancer after chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(13):1187-97.

- 5. Hoang DT, Iczkowski KA, Kilari D, See W, and Nevalainen MT. Androgen receptor-dependent and independent mechanisms driving prostate cancer progression: Opportunities for therapeutic targeting from multiple angles. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(2):3724-45.
- 6. Dehm SM, and Tindall DJ. Alternatively spliced androgen receptor variants. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2011;18(5):R183-96.
- 7. Li Y, Chan SC, Brand LJ, Hwang TH, Silverstein KA, and Dehm SM. Androgen receptor splice variants mediate enzalutamide resistance in castration-resistant prostate cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res.* 2013;73(2):483-9.
- 8. Liu LL, Xie N, Sun S, Plymate S, Mostaghel E, and Dong X. Mechanisms of the androgen receptor splicing in prostate cancer cells. *Oncogene*. 2014;33(24):3140-50.
- 9. Yu Z, Chen S, Sowalsky AG, Voznesensky OS, Mostaghel EA, Nelson PS, et al. Rapid induction of androgen receptor splice variants by androgen deprivation in prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2014;20(6):1590-600.
- 10. Sun S, Sprenger CC, Vessella RL, Haugk K, Soriano K, Mostaghel EA, et al. Castration resistance in human prostate cancer is conferred by a frequently occurring androgen receptor splice variant. *J Clin Invest.* 2010;120(8):2715-30.
- 11. Liu G, Sprenger C, Sun S, Epilepsia KS, Haugk K, Zhang X, et al. AR variant ARv567es induces carcinogenesis in a novel transgenic mouse model of prostate cancer. *Neoplasia*. 2013;15(9):1009-17.
- 12. Dehm SM, Schmidt LJ, Heemers HV, Vessella RL, and Tindall DJ. Splicing of a novel androgen receptor exon generates a constitutively active androgen receptor that mediates prostate cancer therapy resistance. *Cancer Res.* 2008;68(13):5469-77.
- 13. Xu D, Zhan Y, Qi Y, Cao B, Bai S, Xu W, et al. Androgen Receptor Splice Variants Dimerize to Transactivate Target Genes. *Cancer Res.* 2015;75(17):3663-71.
- 14. Liang J, Wang L, Poluben L, Nouri M, Arai S, Xie L, et al. Androgen receptor splice variant 7 functions independently of the full length receptor in prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Lett.* 2021;519:172-84.
- 15. Antonarakis ES, Lu C, Wang H, Luber B, Nakazawa M, Roeser JC, et al. AR-V7 and resistance to enzalutamide and abiraterone in prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371(11):1028-38.
- 16. Cao B, Qi Y, Zhang G, Xu D, Zhan Y, Alvarez X, et al. Androgen receptor splice variants activating the full-length receptor in mediating resistance to androgen-directed therapy. *Oncotarget.* 2014;5(6):1646-56.
- 17. Cai L, Tsai YH, Wang P, Wang J, Li D, Fan H, et al. ZFX Mediates Non-canonical Oncogenic Functions of the Androgen Receptor Splice Variant 7 in Castrate-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Mol Cell*. 2018;72(2):341-54 e6.
- 18. Chen Z, Wu D, Thomas-Ahner JM, Lu C, Zhao P, Zhang Q, et al. Diverse AR-V7 cistromes in castrationresistant prostate cancer are governed by HoxB13. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2018;115(26):6810-5.
- 19. He Y, Lu J, Ye Z, Hao S, Wang L, Kohli M, et al. Androgen receptor splice variants bind to constitutively open chromatin and promote abiraterone-resistant growth of prostate cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(4):1895-911.
- 20. Cato L, de Tribolet-Hardy J, Lee I, Rottenberg JT, Coleman I, Melchers D, et al. ARv7 Represses Tumor-Suppressor Genes in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Cell.* 2019;35(3):401-13 e6.
- 21. Sharp A, Coleman I, Yuan W, Sprenger C, Dolling D, Rodrigues DN, et al. Androgen receptor splice variant-7 expression emerges with castration resistance in prostate cancer. *J Clin Invest.* 2019;129(1):192-208.
- 22. Paschalis A, Welti J, Neeb AJ, Yuan W, Figueiredo I, Pereira R, et al. JMJD6 Is a Druggable Oxygenase That Regulates AR-V7 Expression in Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2021;81(4):1087-100.
- 23. Sun F, Chen HG, Li W, Yang X, Wang X, Jiang R, et al. Androgen receptor splice variant AR3 promotes prostate cancer via modulating expression of autocrine/paracrine factors. *J Biol Chem.* 2014;289(3):1529-39.
- 24. Han G, Buchanan G, Ittmann M, Harris JM, Yu X, Demayo FJ, et al. Mutation of the androgen receptor causes oncogenic transformation of the prostate. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;102(4):1151-6.
- 25. Hornberg E, Ylitalo EB, Crnalic S, Antti H, Stattin P, Widmark A, et al. Expression of androgen receptor splice variants in prostate cancer bone metastases is associated with castration-resistance and short survival. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(4):e19059.

- 26. Watson PA, Chen YF, Balbas MD, Wongvipat J, Socci ND, Viale A, et al. Constitutively active androgen receptor splice variants expressed in castration-resistant prostate cancer require full-length androgen receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010;107(39):16759-65.
- 27. Cai C, Wang H, He HH, Chen S, He L, Ma F, et al. ERG induces androgen receptor-mediated regulation of SOX9 in prostate cancer. *J Clin Invest.* 2013;123(3):1109-22.
- 28. Ma F, Ye H, He HH, Gerrin SJ, Chen S, Tanenbaum BA, et al. SOX9 drives WNT pathway activation in prostate cancer. *J Clin Invest.* 2016;126(5):1745-58.
- 29. Aguilar-Medina M, Avendano-Felix M, Lizarraga-Verdugo E, Bermudez M, Romero-Quintana JG, Ramos-Payan R, et al. SOX9 Stem-Cell Factor: Clinical and Functional Relevance in Cancer. *J Oncol.* 2019;2019:6754040.
- 30. Yang X, Liang R, Liu C, Liu JA, Cheung MPL, Liu X, et al. SOX9 is a dose-dependent metastatic fate determinant in melanoma. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2019;38(1):17.
- 31. Hosseini A, Mirzaei A, Salimi V, Jamshidi K, Babaheidarian P, Fallah S, et al. The local and circulating SOX9 as a potential biomarker for the diagnosis of primary bone cancer. *J Bone Oncol.* 2020;23:100300.
- 32. Panda M, Tripathi SK, and Biswal BK. SOX9: An emerging driving factor from cancer progression to drug resistance. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer*. 2021;1875(2):188517.
- 33. Chen CD, Welsbie DS, Tran C, Baek SH, Chen R, Vessella R, et al. Molecular determinants of resistance to antiandrogen therapy. *Nat Med.* 2004;10(1):33-9.
- 34. Waltering KK, Helenius MA, Sahu B, Manni V, Linja MJ, Janne OA, et al. Increased expression of androgen receptor sensitizes prostate cancer cells to low levels of androgens. *Cancer Res.* 2009;69(20):8141-9.
- 35. Nguyen HM, Vessella RL, Morrissey C, Brown LG, Coleman IM, Higano CS, et al. LuCaP Prostate Cancer Patient-Derived Xenografts Reflect the Molecular Heterogeneity of Advanced Disease an--d Serve as Models for Evaluating Cancer Therapeutics. *Prostate*. 2017;77(6):654-71.
- 36. Cai C, He HH, Chen S, Coleman I, Wang H, Fang Z, et al. Androgen receptor gene expression in prostate cancer is directly suppressed by the androgen receptor through recruitment of lysine-specific demethylase 1. *Cancer Cell.* 2011;20(4):457-71.
- 37. Han W, Liu M, Han D, Li M, Toure AA, Wang Z, et al. RB1 loss in castration-resistant prostate cancer confers vulnerability to LSD1 inhibition. *Oncogene.* 2022;41(6):852-64.
- 38. Gao S, Gao Y, He HH, Han D, Han W, Avery A, et al. Androgen Receptor Tumor Suppressor Function Is Mediated by Recruitment of Retinoblastoma Protein. *Cell Rep.* 2016;17(4):966-76.
- 39. Han W, Liu M, Han D, Toure AA, Li M, Besschetnova A, et al. Exploiting the tumor-suppressive activity of the androgen receptor by CDK4/6 inhibition in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Mol Ther.* 2022.
- 40. Basil P, Robertson MJ, Bingman WE, 3rd, Dash AK, Krause WC, Shafi AA, et al. Cistrome and transcriptome analysis identifies unique androgen receptor (AR) and AR-V7 splice variant chromatin binding and transcriptional activities. *Sci Rep.* 2022;12(1):5351.
- 41. Hu R, Lu C, Mostaghel EA, Yegnasubramanian S, Gurel M, Tannahill C, et al. Distinct transcriptional programs mediated by the ligand-dependent full-length androgen receptor and its splice variants in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2012;72(14):3457-62.
- 42. Grasso CS, Wu YM, Robinson DR, Cao X, Dhanasekaran SM, Khan AP, et al. The mutational landscape of lethal castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Nature*. 2012;487(7406):239-43.
- 43. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. The Molecular Taxonomy of Primary Prostate Cancer. *Cell.* 2015;163(4):1011-25.
- 44. Abida W, Cyrta J, Heller G, Prandi D, Armenia J, Coleman I, et al. Genomic correlates of clinical outcome in advanced prostate cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2019;116(23):11428-36.
- 45. Nyquist MD, Corella A, Coleman I, De Sarkar N, Kaipainen A, Ha G, et al. Combined TP53 and RB1 Loss Promotes Prostate Cancer Resistance to a Spectrum of Therapeutics and Confers Vulnerability to Replication Stress. *Cell Rep.* 2020;31(8):107669.
- 46. Yoo YA, Kang MH, Lee HJ, Kim BH, Park JK, Kim HK, et al. Sonic hedgehog pathway promotes metastasis and lymphangiogenesis via activation of Akt, EMT, and MMP-9 pathway in gastric cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2011;71(22):7061-70.
- 47. Francis JC, Capper A, Ning J, Knight E, de Bono J, and Swain A. SOX9 is a driver of aggressive prostate cancer by promoting invasion, cell fate and cytoskeleton alterations and epithelial to mesenchymal transition. *Oncotarget.* 2018;9(7):7604-15.

- 48. Stanbrough M, Bubley GJ, Ross K, Golub TR, Rubin MA, Penning TM, et al. Increased expression of genes converting adrenal androgens to testosterone in androgen-independent prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2006;66(5):2815-25.
- 49. Vicent S, Luis-Ravelo D, Anton I, Garcia-Tunon I, Borras-Cuesta F, Dotor J, et al. A novel lung cancer signature mediates metastatic bone colonization by a dual mechanism. *Cancer Res.* 2008;68(7):2275-85.
- 50. Labaf M, Li M, Ting L, Karno B, Zhang S, Gao S, et al. Increased AR expression in castration-resistant prostate cancer rapidly induces AR signaling reprogramming with the collaboration of EZH2. *Front Oncol.* 2022;12:1021845.
- 51. Han W, Gao S, Barrett D, Ahmed M, Han D, Macoska JA, et al. Reactivation of androgen receptorregulated lipid biosynthesis drives the progression of castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Oncogene*. 2018;37(6):710-21.
- 52. Wang S, Sun H, Ma J, Zang C, Wang C, Wang J, et al. Target analysis by integration of transcriptome and ChIP-seq data with BETA. *Nat Protoc.* 2013;8(12):2502-15.
- 53. Lupien M, Eeckhoute J, Meyer CA, Wang Q, Zhang Y, Li W, et al. FoxA1 translates epigenetic signatures into enhancer-driven lineage-specific transcription. *Cell.* 2008;132(6):958-70.
- 54. Gao N, Zhang J, Rao MA, Case TC, Mirosevich J, Wang Y, et al. The role of hepatocyte nuclear factor-3 alpha (Forkhead Box A1) and androgen receptor in transcriptional regulation of prostatic genes. *Mol Endocrinol.* 2003;17(8):1484-507.
- 55. Carroll JS, Liu XS, Brodsky AS, Li W, Meyer CA, Szary AJ, et al. Chromosome-wide mapping of estrogen receptor binding reveals long-range regulation requiring the forkhead protein FoxA1. *Cell.* 2005;122(1):33-43.
- 56. Wang H, Leav I, Ibaragi S, Wegner M, Hu GF, Lu ML, et al. SOX9 is expressed in human fetal prostate epithelium and enhances prostate cancer invasion. *Cancer Res.* 2008;68(6):1625-30.
- 57. Thomsen MK, Ambroisine L, Wynn S, Cheah KS, Foster CS, Fisher G, et al. SOX9 elevation in the prostate promotes proliferation and cooperates with PTEN loss to drive tumor formation. *Cancer Res.* 2010;70(3):979-87.
- 58. Robinson D, Van Allen EM, Wu YM, Schultz N, Lonigro RJ, Mosquera JM, et al. Integrative clinical genomics of advanced prostate cancer. *Cell*. 2015;161(5):1215-28.
- 59. Kumar A, Coleman I, Morrissey C, Zhang X, True LD, Gulati R, et al. Substantial interindividual and limited intraindividual genomic diversity among tumors from men with metastatic prostate cancer. *Nat Med.* 2016;22(4):369-78.
- 60. Teng Y, Xie X, Walker S, White DT, Mumm JS, and Cowell JK. Evaluating human cancer cell metastasis in zebrafish. *BMC Cancer.* 2013;13:453.
- 61. Chen S, Xu Y, Yuan X, Bubley GJ, and Balk SP. Androgen receptor phosphorylation and stabilization in prostate cancer by cyclin-dependent kinase 1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006;103(43):15969-74.
- 62. Gordon V, Bhadel S, Wunderlich W, Zhang J, Ficarro SB, Mollah SA, et al. CDK9 regulates AR promoter selectivity and cell growth through serine 81 phosphorylation. *Mol Endocrinol.* 2010;24(12):2267-80.
- 63. Chen S, Gulla S, Cai C, and Balk SP. Androgen receptor serine 81 phosphorylation mediates chromatin binding and transcriptional activation. *J Biol Chem.* 2012;287(11):8571-83.
- 64. Liu X, Gao Y, Ye H, Gerrin S, Ma F, Wu Y, et al. Positive feedback loop mediated by protein phosphatase 1alpha mobilization of P-TEFb and basal CDK1 drives androgen receptor in prostate cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017;45(7):3738-51.
- 65. Russo JW, Liu X, Ye H, Calagua C, Chen S, Voznesensky O, et al. Phosphorylation of androgen receptor serine 81 is associated with its reactivation in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2018;438:97-104.
- 66. Lucking U, Scholz A, Lienau P, Siemeister G, Kosemund D, Bohlmann R, et al. Identification of Atuveciclib (BAY 1143572), the First Highly Selective, Clinical PTEFb/CDK9 Inhibitor for the Treatment of Cancer. *ChemMedChem.* 2017;12(21):1776-93.
- 67. Cidado J, Boiko S, Proia T, Ferguson D, Criscione SW, San Martin M, et al. AZD4573 Is a Highly Selective CDK9 Inhibitor That Suppresses MCL-1 and Induces Apoptosis in Hematologic Cancer Cells. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2020;26(4):922-34.
- 68. Robinson DR, Wu YM, Lonigro RJ, Vats P, Cobain E, Everett J, et al. Integrative clinical genomics of metastatic cancer. *Nature*. 2017;548(7667):297-303.

- 69. Zhong J, Ding L, Bohrer LR, Pan Y, Liu P, Zhang J, et al. p300 acetyltransferase regulates androgen receptor degradation and PTEN-deficient prostate tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res.* 2014;74(6):1870-80.
- 70. Gao X, Liang J, Wang L, Zhang Z, Yuan P, Wang J, et al. Phosphorylation of the androgen receptor at Ser81 is co-sustained by CDK1 and CDK9 and leads to AR-mediated transactivation in prostate cancer. *Mol Oncol.* 2021;15(7):1901-20.
- 71. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, et al. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol.* 2008;9(9):R137.
- 72. Meng X, Vander Ark A, Daft P, Woodford E, Wang J, Madaj Z, et al. Loss of TGF-beta signaling in osteoblasts increases basic-FGF and promotes prostate cancer bone metastasis. *Cancer Lett.* 2018;418:109-18.
- 73. Su S, Cao J, Meng X, Liu R, Vander Ark A, Woodford E, et al. Enzalutamide-induced and PTH1Rmediated TGFBR2 degradation in osteoblasts confers resistance in prostate cancer bone metastases. *Cancer Lett.* 2022;525:170-8.
- 74. Li X, Sterling JA, Fan KH, Vessella RL, Shyr Y, Hayward SW, et al. Loss of TGF-beta responsiveness in prostate stromal cells alters chemokine levels and facilitates the development of mixed osteoblastic/osteolytic bone lesions. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2012;10(4):494-503.
- 75. Meng X, Vander Ark A, Lee P, Hostetter G, Bhowmick NA, Matrisian LM, et al. Myeloid-specific TGFbeta signaling in bone promotes basic-FGF and breast cancer bone metastasis. *Oncogene*. 2016;35(18):2370-8.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by grants from NIH (R01CA211350 to C.C., R01CA230744 to X.L., R01Al167570 to K.Z., U54CA156734 to J.A.M., P50CA090381 to S.P.B., P01CA163227 to S.P.B. and P.S.N., P50CA097186, R01CA234715, R01266452, and R21CA277368 to P.S.N.), DOD (W81XWH-19-1-0361 and W81XWH-21-1-0267 to C.C., W81XWH-19-1-0777 to S.G., W81XWH-20-1-0146 to S.R.P., HT9425-23-1-0462 to W.H.), and VA Merit Award (101BX003324 to S.R.P.). C.C. and D.H. were supported by Proposal Development Award from University of Massachusetts Boston. S.P.B. and P.S.N. were supported by the Prostate Cancer Foundation. M.Labaf, M.Liu, and S.Z. were supported by the graduate fellowship from the Integrative Biosciences/Computational Sciences Programs at the University of Massachusetts Boston. Z.W., W.H., M.Li., and A.B. were supported by CSM (College of Science and Mathematics) Dean's Doctoral Research Fellowship from the University of Massachusetts Boston. J.O., M.Li, and M.Liu were supported by University of Massachusetts Boston. J.O., M.Li, and M.Liu were supported by University of Massachusetts Boston. J.O., M.Li, and M.Liu were supported by University of Massachusetts Boston. J.O., M.Li, and M.Liu were supported by University of Massachusetts Boston. J.O., M.Li, and M.Liu were supported by University of Massachusetts Boston. J.O., M.Li, and Balk laboratories for constructive input.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

C.C., X.L., K.Z., D.H., M.Labaf, and Y.Z. designed the study. D.H., M.Labaf, Y.Z., J.O., S.Z., K.O., K.V., J.S.S., W.H., M.Li, M.Liu, Z.W., A.B., S.P., X.Y., S.P.B., P.S.N, S.R.P., S.G., K.R.S., R.L., M.S., G.F., P.J.C., and B.W. performed experiments and analyzed the results. S.P., M.J.M., K.Z., and J.A.M. performed deep sequencing analyses. C.C., D.H., M.Labaf, Y.Z., S.R.P., P.S.N., K.Z., and X.L. wrote the manuscript. D.H., M.Labaf, and Y.Z. contributed equally for the study: D.H. performed most molecular assays and zebrafish studies, M.Labaf performed all the bioinformatical and statistical analyses, and Y.Z. performed all the mice studies. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

#### **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article. P.S.N. has received consulting fees from Janssen, Merck and Bristol Myers Squibb and research support from Janssen for work unrelated to the present studies. The other authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.C. (changmeng.cai@umb.edu).

Han et al., Figure 1



#### Figure 1. Overexpression of AR-V7, but not AR-FL, induces osteoblastic bone metastasis in PCa

**A**, **B**, Immunoblotting for AR-V7 or AR-FL in C4-2-derived lenti-viral stable lines overexpressing doxycyclineregulated, V5-tagged AR-V7 (C4-2-tet-ARV7) (A) or AR-FL (C4-2-tet-ARFL) (B). Cells were pretreated with/out 0.25 $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline for 48 hours (h). **C**, **D**, C4-2-tet-ARV7 (C) or C4-2-tet-ARFL(D) cells were injected into the tibias of castrated NSG mice, which were then fed with or without a doxycycline-supplemented diet. The bone lesion area was monitored and quantified. **E**, **F**, Normalized bone volume and trabecular bone number in C4-2tet-ARV7 tumors (E) or C4-2-tet-ARFL tumors (F) were compared. **G**, Structural views of bones scanned by  $\mu$ CT and 3D reconstructed for the C4-2-tet-ARV7 model. **H**, **I**, Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining for SOX9 in tumor samples from the C4-2-tet-ARV7 model (H) and the C4-2-tet-ARFL model (I). **J**, **K**, Immunoblotting for AR (antibody against N-terminus) and SOX9 in C4-2-tet-ARV7 (J) and C4-2-tet-ARFL cells (K), which were treated with 0. 0.1, 0.5, or 1 $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline for 48h. All the cell lines were hormone-depleted prior to the experiments. Unpaired two-sided *t*-test was used to determine statistical significance (\**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001).

#### Han et al., Figure 2



#### Figure 2. AR-V7 activates a unique transcription program in CRPC

A, Immunoblotting for AR (N-terminal) in LNCaP cells stably expressing doxycycline-regulated V5-tagged AR-FL (LN-tet-ARFL) or AR-V7 (LN-tet-ARV7) treated with 0-1µg/ml doxycycline for 48h. B. Immunoblotting for AR in LN-tet-ARV7 cells treated with/out low-dose doxycycline (0.1µg/ml for 48h) or DHT (10nM for 24h). C, Immunoblotting for indicated proteins in LNCaP cells stably expressing cumate-regulated FLAG-tagged ARv567es (LN-cu-ARv567es) with the treatment of 0, 30, or 60µg/ml cumate for 48h. D, E, Immunoblotting for AR-V7 and N-terminal AR in CWR-22Rv1 (D) and LuCaP 35CR cells (E) transfected with siRNAs against nontarget control (NTC) or AR-V7 for 3 days (d). F, RNA-seq analyses were conducted to compare the AR-V7 transcriptome (22Rv1 and 35CR transfected with siNTC or siARV7 for 3d, LN-tet-ARV7 treated with/out 0.25 µg/ml doxycycline for 48h) with the ARv567es transcriptome (LN-cu-ARv567es treated with/out 30µg/ml cumate for 48h) and DHT-stimulated AR-FL transcriptome (LNCaP/C4-2/VCaP stimulated with/out 10nM DHT for 24h, LN-tet-ARFL treated with 0.25µg/ml doxycycline and stimulated with/out 0.1nM DHT for 24h). GSEA normalized enrichment scores (NES) of MSigDB Hallmark gene sets in each model were plotted (red: AR-V7 or AR-FL activated pathways; blue: AR-V7 or AR-FL repressed pathways). All the cell lines were hormone-depleted prior to the experiments. G, H, The expression of AR-V7 specific targets (17-gene signature) in these cell lines (G) and in human PCa cohorts: Normal (N=52) and androgen-dependent primary PCa samples (N=498) from TCGA dataset versus metastatic CRPC samples from SU2C (N=266) and UW datasets (N=138) (H). I, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for the overall survival from the initiation of the first-line ARSi in mCRPC patients (SU2C cohort, N=99) was conducted, comparing top 25 percentile of median score expression (red, N= 25) versus lower 75 percentile (blue, N=74). P value was calculated using the log-rank test from the score test. Statistical analyses were conducted using unpaired nonparametric two-sample Wilcoxon test for box plots, with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.



#### Figure 3. AR-V7 activated transcription program is enriched for EMT/metastasis functions

**A**, Heatmap view of identified AR-V7 activated EMT genes (37-gene signature). **B**, GSEA NES of two public bone metastasis signatures (VICENT\_BONE\_MET, 20-gene; CAI\_BONE\_MET, 44-gene) in each model were plotted (red: activated pathways; blue: repressed pathways). Statistical analyses were conducted using unpaired nonparametric two-sample Wilcoxon test for box plots, with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. **C**, Fold-change of AR-V7 activated EMT genes (37-gene signature) in 22RV1, 35CR, LN-tet-ARV7, and LN-tet-ARFL cells. **D**, qRT-PCR for a panel of AR-V7 regulated genes in LN-tet-ARV7 cells treated with/out 0.25µg/ml doxycycline. **E**, **F**, qRT-PCR for a panel of AR-V7 regulated genes in 22Rv1 (E) or 35CR (F) cells transfected with siNTC or siARV7. **G**, qRT-PCR for *SOX9* and *COL25A1* in LN-cu-ARv567es cells treated with 10nM DHT for 24h or 30µg/ml cumate for 48h. **H**, qRT-PCR for a panel of AR-V7 regulated genes in parental LNCaP cells treated with/out 10nM DHT for 24h. All the cell lines were hormone-depleted prior to the experiments. For the bar graph plot, an unpaired two-sided *t*-test was used to determine statistical significance (\**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001). Data are represented as mean ± standard deviation.

#### Han et al., Figure 4



#### Figure 4. AR-V7 can bind to a subset of chromatin sites distinct from AR-FL binding

**A**, **B**, ChIP-seq analysis of V5 was conducted in LN-tet-ARV7 cells (hormone-depleted) stimulated with/out 0.25µg/ml doxycycline for 48h. Similarly, ChIP-seq analysis of AR (antibody against N-terminal) was performed in LN-tet-ARFL cells stimulated with 0.25µg/ml doxycycline and then treated with 0.1nM DHT for 4h. The Venn diagram (A) and heatmap view (B) demonstrate the unique or overlapping sites of AR-V7 versus AR-FL. **C**, **D**, **E**, Binding and Expression Target Analysis (BETA) was used to assess the association of total AR-V7 sites with AR-V7-regulated genes (C), total AR-FL sites with androgen-upregulated genes (D), and the unique or common sites of AR-FL/AR-V7 with androgen-upregulated genes or AR-V7-regulated genes (E). *P* values were calculated by BETA as a measure of the significance of the association between transcription factor binding and gene expression changes. **F**, Motif enrichment analyses were conducted for the AR-FL/AR-V7 unique or common sites and ranked by z-score. (black: common enriched motifs, purple: uniquely enriched motifs).

Han et al., Figure 5



nan et al., Figur

#### Figure 5. AR-V7 can bind to more compact chromatin regions

**A**, **B**, **C**, **D**, ChIP-seq analyses of FOXA1 were conducted in LN-tet-AR-V7 cells treated with/out 0.25µg/ml doxycycline for 48h. Venn diagrams demonstrate FOXA1 binding sites in treated versus untreated cells (A), FOXA1 binding sites in untreated cells versus AR-V7 sites (B), FOXA1 binding sites in untreated parental LNCaP cells versus AR-FL binding sites (C), and FOXA1 binding sites in doxycycline-treated cells versus AR-V7 sites (D). **E**, ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq analyses of H3K27ac were performed in LN-tet-AR-V7 cells treated with/out 0.25µg/ml doxycycline for 48h. The heatmap shows peak intensity of FOXA1, ATAC, and H3K27ac at AR-FL/AR-V7 common sites or AR-V7 specific sites. **F**, **G**, **H**, The average intensity curves of FOXA1 (F), ATAC (G), and H3K27ac (H) at AR-FL/AR-V7 common sites versus AR-V7 specific sites. All the cell lines were hormone-depleted prior to the experiments.



#### Han et al., Figure 6

#### Figure 6. AR-V7 transcriptionally activates SOX9

A, Genome browser view for indicated protein bindings at the S2 site of SOX9 gene (Note: AR-FL binding indicates AR ChIP-seq peaks in LN-tet-ARFL cells treated with doxycycline; other tracks are for LN-tet-ARV7 cells). B, qRT-PCR for SOX9 mRNA in LN-tet-ARFL cells (0.1nM DHT for 24h, 0.25µg/ml doxycycline for 48h) and in LN-tet-ARV7 (0.25µg/ml doxycycline for 48h). C. ChIP-gPCR for V5 (AR-V7), FOXA1, H3K4me2. H3K27ac, and C-terminal AR (AR-FL) at the S2 site in LN-tet-ARV7 cells treated with/out 0.25 µg/ml doxycycline for 48h or 0.1nM DHT for 4h. D. Spearman correlation of SOX9 expression with AR-V7 or AR-FL expression in the SU2C mCRPC dataset. E, Matrigel invasion assay in LN-tet-ARV7 cells (doxycycline) compared with LN-tet-ARFL cells (0-10nM DHT, 0.1µg/ml doxycycline for 3d). F, G, Immunoblotting for SOX9 (F) and Matrigel invasion assay (G) in LN-tet-ARV7 cells transfected with siNTC or siSOX9 for 3d. H. Immunoblotting for SOX9 in GFPlabeled C4-2-tet-ARV7 cells transfected with siNTC or siSOX9 for 3d. I, GFP-labeled C4-2-tet-ARFL (grown under 0.1nM DHT) or C4-2-tet-ARV7 stable cells, pretreated with/out 0.25µg/ml doxycycline and transfected with siNTC or siSOX9 for 3d, were injected in the zebrafish embryos. AR-V7-mediated tumor cell intravasation process was observed within 1h (indicated by red arrow). The proportion of "invaded" embryos relative to the total number of embryos injected was displayed. J. C4-2 cells stably expressing doxycycline-regulated AR-V7 together with doxycycline-regulated shRNA against SOX9 (LN-tet-ARV7/shSOX9) were established. Immunoblotting for AR-V7 and SOX9 was performed (right panel). LN-tet-ARV7/shSOX9 or control LN-tet-ARV7 cells were then injected into the tibias of castrated male mice, which were then fed with a doxycyclinesupplemented diet. The bone lesion area was monitored and guantified (left panel). K, L, Immunoblotting for AR-V7 and SOX9 (K) and zebrafish embryo metastasis assay (L) in GFP-labeled LNCaP-95 cells transfected with siNTC or siARV7 for 3d. M, N, Immunoblotting for AR-V7 and SOX9 (M) and zebrafish embryo metastasis assay (N) in GFP-labeled 35CR cells transfected with siNTC or siARV7 for 3d. All the cell lines were hormone-depleted prior to the experiments. For the bar graph plot, an unpaired two-sided t-test was used to determine statistical significance (\*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001, \*\*\*\*P<0.0001). Data are represented as mean ± standard deviation.





#### Figure 7. Ser81 phosphorylation is required for AR-V7-induced metastasis

**A**, immunoblotting for indicated proteins in C4-2-tet-ARV7 and C4-2-ARV7<sup>S81A</sup> cells (C4-2 cells expressing doxycycline-regulated V5-tagged AR-V7-S81A mutant) treated with 0-1 $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline for 48h. **B**, C4-2-ARV7<sup>S81A</sup> cells were injected into the tibias of castrated male mice, which were then fed with/out a doxycycline-supplemented diet. The bone lesion area was monitored and quantified. Note: this experiment was conducted simultaneously with the C4-2-tet-ARV7 and C4-2-tet-ARFL experiments shown in Figure 1. **C**, Normalized bone volume and trabecular bone number were compared. **D**, Structure views of bones scanned by  $\mu$ CT and 3D reconstructed. **E**, IHC staining for SOX9 in tumor samples. **F**, zebrafish embryo metastasis assay in GFP-labeled C4-2-tet-ARV7<sup>WT</sup> and C4-2-tet-ARV7<sup>S81A</sup> cells pretreated with/out 0.25 $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline for 48h. All the cell lines were hormone-depleted before experiments. For the bar graph plot, an unpaired two-sided *t*-test was used to determine statistical significance (\**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001, \*\*\**P*<0.0001).



#### Figure 8. Ser81 phosphorylation selectively enhances the AR-V7-regulated metastasis program

**A**, Immunoblotting for S81-phosphorylated (p-S81) AR-FL and AR-V7 in LN-tet-ARV7 and LN-tet-ARV7<sup>S81A</sup> cells (LNCaP cells expressing doxycycline-regulated V5-tagged AR-V7-S81A mutant). **B**, **C**, RNA-seq analyses were conducted in these stable lines treated with/out 0.25 $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline. GSEA using hallmark gene sets (B) or predefined bone metastasis gene sets (C) was performed. **D**, Relative fold-change for AR-V7 regulation on indicated gene sets. **E**, qRT-PCR for AR-V7 activated EMT/metastasis genes and lipid synthesis genes. **F**, Immunoblotting for SOX9 and AR in LN-tet-ARFL, LN-tet-ARFL<sup>S81A</sup>, LN-tet-ARV7, and LN-tet-ARV7<sup>S81A</sup> cells, treated with 10nM DHT or 0.25 $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline. **G**, **H**, **I**, ChIP-seq analysis of V5 was performed in LN-tet-ARV7<sup>S81A</sup> cells stimulated with/out0.25  $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline. The Venn diagram for AR-V7-WT bindings sites versus AR-V7-S81A binding sites (G), heatmap view for peak intensity at AR-V7-WT and AR-V7-S81A unique or common sites (H), and heatmap view for peak intensity at AR-V7 and AR-FL unique or common sites (I) were shown. All the cell lines were hormone-depleted before experiments. For the bar graph plot, an unpaired two-sided *t*-test was used to determine statistical significance (\**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001, \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001). Data are represented as mean ± standard deviation.



### Figure 9. CDK9 inhibition prevents Ser81 phosphorylation of AR-V7 and impairs AR-V7-mediated metastasis

**A**, Immunoblotting for p-S81 of AR-V7, V5, and SOX9 in LN-tet-ARV7 cells treated with CDK9 inhibitors for 24h. **B**, qRT-PCR for AR-V7 target genes in LN-tet-ARV7 cells treated with two CDK9 inhibitors for 24h. **C**, **E**, Immunoblotting for p-S81 of AR-V7 and SOX9 in C4-2-tet-ARV7 (C) and 35CR (E) cells treated with/out 0.1 $\mu$ M AZD4573 for 24h. **D**, **G**, zebrafish embryo metastasis assays in GFP-labeled C4-2-tet-ARV7 cells cultured under doxycycline (D) and 35CR cells (**G**) pretreated with/out 0.1 $\mu$ M AZD4573 for 24h. **F**, qRT-PC for AR-V7 target genes in 35CR cells treated with 0.1 $\mu$ M AZD4573 for 24h. **H**, C4-2-tet-ARV7 cells were injected into the tibias of castrated male mice, which were then fed with a doxycycline-supplemented diet and treated with (n=12) or without AZD4573 (n=8, 15mg/kg) via i.p. injection for every other day. The bone lesion area was monitored and quantified. **I**, IHC staining for SOX9 in tumor samples. All the cell lines were hormone-depleted before experiments. For the bar graph plot, an unpaired two-sided *t*-test was used to determine statistical significance (\**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001, \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001). Data are represented as mean ± standard deviation.